

SD11
A1
A42
no. 168

Sugar pine

FS-168

PINUS

(pines)

Pines (*Pinus*), the most important conifers, grow around the world in the Northern Hemisphere. Southward they occur as far as Nicaragua, northern Africa, Asia Minor, and Malaya. One species crosses the Equator in Sumatra. Thirty-six of the 90 to 100 species in the world are native in the United States.

Pine trees usually have furrowed bark, resinous wood, and an upright axis which produces each year a long shoot with one or more circles (whorls) of spreading branches. Buds are large, pointed, usually resinous. The needlelike leaves are borne in clusters or bundles of mostly 2 to 5 (rarely more or only 1). They persist from 2 to 12 years in different species.

Numerous male strobili are clustered at the base of current year's growth in lower part of crown. Ovulate strobili are borne on the same

tree, especially in upper part of crown, sometimes clustered near the end of the current year's growth. The woody cones may be egg-shaped or cylindrical but are sometimes oblique and not symmetrical. They mature at the end of the second year (rarely third) and may shed promptly or remain attached to the tree, sometimes not opening. Seeds are long-winged or sometimes wingless. Cotyledons 4 to 15.

Pines are separated into two groups. The soft or white pines (subgenus *Haploxyylon*), have mostly soft wood and needles commonly in clusters of 5, with 1 vascular bundle in cross section. The hard pines (subgenus *Pinus* or *Diploxyylon*) have mostly hard wood and needles commonly in clusters of 2 or 3, with 2 vascular bundles in cross section.



SUGAR PINE (*Pinus lambertiana* Dougl.)

Other common name: California sugar pine.

Sugar pine, the largest and most valuable of the western pines, is native to Oregon, California, extreme western Nevada, and Lower California. Its range extends from southern Clackamas County on the west slope of the Cascade Ranges in Oregon, through the Sierra Nevada and North Coast Ranges to San Pedro Martir in Lower California.

HABITAT CONDITIONS

Climature

The climate in which sugar pine grows may generally be called humid. More specifically it is characterized by dry summers, with July and August precipitation usually less than 1 inch per month; annual temperature extremes of below -10° F. to above 100° ; annual precipitation of about 25 inches or more, part of which falls as snow.

The tree's lower limit of precipitation may be about 20 inches a year, since isolated sugar pines are found in the Pacific ponderosa pine type where precipitation is 18 to 20 inches. Its lower temperature limit has not been determined but probably is below -30° F. Best development of the tree occurs in areas where the average annual precipitation is 40 to 50 inches.


Soils and Topography

Sugar pine grows on many different kinds of soils, from shallow stony or rocky alkaline clays to loose, deep, well-drained, moderately acid sandy loams. These soils may develop from weathering of a wide range of parent rocks: Rhyolite, andesite, diorite, sandstone, shale, basalt, and granitic or metamorphic equivalents. The Holland, Olympic, Aiken, and Josephine soils series are the most common.

The best stands in the central Sierra Nevada grow on deep, sandy loam soils of the Holland series, developed from granitic rock. In the southern Cascade Range the best stands are on deep clay loams developed on basalt and rhyolite. In the northern Sierra Nevada and Cascade Mountains, sugar pine grows on loam to silty clay loam soils, brown to dark reddish brown in color and slightly to moderately acid in reaction. Pumice soils here also support excellent stands, especially on benches and gentle slopes where the soils are deep, coarse textured, and well drained.

In the Coast Range and Siskiyou Mountains in California and Oregon, the best stands are on light

brown to reddish-brown, slightly to moderately acid, silt loams and clay loams derived from sandstone and shale. The poorest stands usually grow on red clay loam developed in place from weathering of periodotite and related ultrabasic rocks



The range of sugar pine.

F-506623

which in places have developed serpentine characteristics.

At its northern limit (about latitude 44° 47' N.) sugar pine grows between elevations of 1,700 and 3,700 feet; farther south in Oregon it grows between 1,100 feet and 5,400 feet. East of the Cascade summit it is found up to 6,500 feet in Klamath County. In northern California it grows as high as 7,500 feet (latitude 41° 23' N.) and as low as 2,000 feet in the Sacramento Canyon. In the central Sierra Nevada it will grow between 2,000 to 7,800 feet, as in Yosemite National Park (latitude 37° 44' N.). In southern California it grows at elevations from 4,000 to 10,500 feet in the San Bernardino Mountains (latitude 34° 15' N.), and in Lower California it is common between 8,000 to 10,000 feet in the San Pedro Martir Plateau (latitude 30° 30' N.).

The best stands of sugar pine are found in an elevational belt between 4,500 and 6,000 feet in the Central Sierra, from the San Joaquin River north to the American River (latitudes 37° and 39° N.).

At the lower and middle elevations in the Sierra Nevada, sugar pine is most common on north- and east-facing slopes. In southern Oregon it grows on all aspects in equal abundance at lower elevations, but mostly on the warmer aspects at high ones.

Associated Trees and Shrubs

Sugar pine is one of the major timber species in the Transition Life Zone in the Cascade-Sierra Range and Siskiyou Mountains. It is represented in nine cover types of western North America, occurring as single trees or small groups but never in pure stands over extensive areas. It is one of the major components in the Ponderosa Pine—Sugar Pine—Fir Type (Type 242) (sometimes called the mixed conifer type), and as a minor component in the following cover types: Red Fir (Type 228), White Fir (Type 211), Pacific Douglas-fir (Type 229), Port-Orford-Cedar—Douglas-Fir (Type 231), Pacific Ponderosa Pine—Douglas-Fir (Type 247), Pacific Ponderosa Pine (Type 243), California Black Oak (Type 246), and Jeffrey Pine (Type 247).

In the northern part of its range it is commonly associated with Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, grand fir, incense-cedar, western hemlock, western red-cedar, and Port-Orford-cedar.

In the central part it is associated with ponderosa pine, Jeffrey pine, white fir, incense-cedar, California red fir, and giant sequoia.

Farther south, common associates are Jeffrey pine, ponderosa pine, Coulter pine, and bigcone Douglas-fir. As elevation increases, white fir and giant sequoia are added; at the upper limits, Jeffrey pine, western white pine, California red fir, and lodgepole pine grow with sugar pine.

Common brush species in the sugar pine range include greenleaf manzanita (*Arctostaphylos patula*), deerbrush (*Ceanothus integerrimus*), snowbrush (*C. velutinus*), whitethorn (*C. cordulatus*), bear-mat (*Chamaebatia foliolosa*), chinkapin (*Castanopsis sempervirens*), salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), and coast rhododendron (*Rhododendron californicum*).

LIFE HISTORY

Reproduction and Early Growth

Flowering and fruiting.—Sugar pine flower buds are formed during July and August, but are not discernible until early the next summer (15).¹ On the Stanislaus National Forest at about 6,000 feet, staminate strobili are visible about the middle of June; pistillate strobili a week or two later. Pollen shedding occurs after the middle of May at elevations below 3,000 feet and about the first week in July at 6,000 feet (4). The conelets are from 1 to 2 inches long at the time of pollen dissemination, and they grow to 2 or 3 inches by the end of the first growing season. The cones reach mature size, averaging approximately 12 inches with some exceptional cones measuring more than 22 inches, by late August of the second year and contain, on the average, 210 developed seeds per cone.

In Oregon at 1,000 feet elevation in the Rogue River Valley, the cones usually open between August 15 and 20. At 2,000 to 3,000 feet elevation in the Umpqua River drainage, cones open 2 to 4 weeks later. In California at 5,000 to 6,000 feet on the Stanislaus National Forest, the cones usually do not open until late in September or early in October.

Seed production.—Some sugar pine trees begin to bear a few cones when they are about 8 inches d.b.h.; however, they do not become good producers until they are about 30 inches in diameter, or about 150 years old (15).

Almost all sugar pine cones, 98 percent in one study, are produced on the larger dominant trees. Trees less than 14 inches in diameter averaged less than 8 cones per tree per crop. Those over 50 inches averaged 100 or more cones. The greatest number of cones reported on a single tree was 848 on a 48-inch tree, equivalent to about 170,000 seeds, or 85 pounds (15).

Individual trees do not produce cones every year and relatively few have cones every other year. Heavy cone crops are borne at intervals of 2 to 7 years—the average being about 4 years. There is some evidence that the size of sugar pine

¹ Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 469.



F-378354

The mixed conifer type in California, large sugar pine in the foreground.

cone crops can be increased by applications of ammonium phosphate fertilizer (26).

Many of the cones which appear on the trees during the spring of the second year fail to survive to maturity. Squirrels, particularly the Douglas pine squirrel, at times cut down as many as half of the cones before they mature (15, 31). Birds, particularly the whiteheaded woodpecker, may completely riddle a third or more of the immature cones (31). Sugar pine beetles (*Conophthorus lambertianae*) destroy many sugar pine cones (15, 16, 20), and their attacks are believed to be one of the major reasons for the lack of successive heavy cone crops (15). Subfreezing weather during the late spring of the second year can injure sugar pine conelets (24).

Seed dissemination.—Seed dissemination begins in late August at the lowest elevations and in September at higher levels. It continues through October, and some seeds fall during the winter months. Most of the sound seed is shed by the end of October; afterwards most of the seeds that fall are undeveloped. The cones begin to open when the specific gravity has dropped to about 0.62 (25).

Practically all sugar pine seeds are disseminated by wind. Seeds are often buried by rodents at considerable distances from the seed tree. Some of these seeds germinate, with the seedlings usually coming up in dense clumps.

Sugar pine seeds are large (about 2,000 per pound) and have a relatively small wing for their weight. Consequently, they are not carried great distances by air currents. In still air, the seed falls at the rate of 8.7 feet per second (28). In one study, 80 percent of the seeds fell within 100 feet of the seed trees, but a few were carried as much as 200 feet (12).

The number of seed shed per acre, of course, varies with the number and size of seed trees. The largest number observed in a California study was 180,000 sound seeds per acre in a cutover stand which had 5 cone-bearing trees per acre, each of which bore an average of 167 cones (15).

Seedling development.—Sugar pine germination is epigeous (cotyledons appear above the ground). The seeds exhibit embryo dormancy which increases with storage, but which can be broken by cold stratification (30). The rate of germination is as high as or higher than that of its

associated species. In one study the germination rates were: Sugar pine, 70 percent; ponderosa pine, 53; incense-cedar, 32; and white fir, 21 percent (14). Germination of sugar pine in seed spots in southern Oregon was equal to or higher than that of ponderosa pine and higher than Douglas-fir (29).

Sugar pine seeds are eagerly sought after by forest rodents, with the result that little seed may germinate. Early reports that the viability of sugar pine seed was low and that sugar pine seedlings were not vigorous probably arose from the observed relative scarcity of reproduction. But the destruction of the seed may have been a more important reason for the scarcity than the alleged low vitality of the seed and seedlings.

Although it is almost axiomatic that pine seeds germinate better on mineral soil, several studies show that mineral soil is not always superior for sugar pine. In one study of surface conditions, 70 percent of the sugar pine seed germinated on a mineral soil surface, compared to 54 percent on a litter-covered surface. In another study germination was little different on bare and on litter-covered surfaces—62 percent on bare compared to 65 percent on litter one year, and 42 percent on bare against 50 percent on litter another year (14).

During their first year sugar pine seedlings are exceptionally vigorous, compared to their natural associates. In an 8-year California study of seedling establishment, the first-year survival of sugar pine seedlings was 42 percent, compared to 27 percent for ponderosa pine, 11 percent for white fir, and 12 percent for incense-cedar. In southwestern Oregon seed-spotting studies, first year stocking of sugar pine seedlings was 59 percent; of ponderosa pine, 49 percent; and of Douglas-fir, 16 percent (29).

One reason for the better survival of sugar pine seedlings during their first year is that the seedlings are only slightly attacked by cutworms (Noctuidae). Only 8 percent of the sugar pine seedlings were killed by cutworms, whereas 28 percent of the ponderosa pine, 34 percent of the white fir, and 53 percent of the incense-cedar seedlings were killed by these insects (9).

Brush severely hinders the establishment and growth of sugar pine seedlings. Only 18 percent of the 1-year-old seedlings starting under brush survived (13) in studies covering a period of 18 to 24 years. At the end of 10 years the tallest seedlings were barely over a foot high and still overtopped by the brush. Where sugar pine had an even start with brush, however, it was able to compete successfully (11).

Sugar pine seedlings are more susceptible to freezing injury than ponderosa pine, Jeffrey pine, and incense-cedar seedlings, but they are slightly less damaged by freezing than white fir (23).

Drought may cause high mortality of sugar pine seedlings. In one 8-year study about half of the losses were attributed to drought. However, losses from low soil moisture were no greater for sugar pine than for ponderosa pine and white fir.

Rodents destroy many seedlings during the first 2 months after germination, particularly during the early period when the seed coat is still affixed to the cotyledons.

Records of seedling mortality in Oregon show no losses from heat injury even where seedlings grew through beds of charcoal on south exposures.

The seed-to-seedling ratio is one measure of the effectiveness of different methods used to secure natural regeneration. This ratio was found to vary greatly, depending mainly on the condition of the seedbed and the amount of seed destroyed by animals. On three different areas on the Stanislaus Experimental Forest the ratios were: 38 seeds per seedling when the ground surface was scarified and the rodents were poisoned; 70 when the area was only scarified; and more than 480 when no preparatory measures were taken (15).

First-year root development of sugar pine seedlings is rapid. The primary root, which develops as a tap root with a few short lateral branches, penetrated bare sandy soils to as much as 24 inches in 2 to 3 months after germination on the Stanislaus plots. The tap roots averaged 17 inches long. In the same time, seedlings which germinated on duff-covered soil had roots usually 7 to 9 inches long, with a maximum of 12 inches.

Height growth is relatively slow during the first 5 years even on the best sites; later, however, growth is usually rapid. On high-quality sites with little competition, the first year's shoot grew to a length of 4 to 5 inches. Average 5-year-old seedlings were 10 inches and the tallest seedlings were about 15 inches. Average 8-year-old seedlings were 35 inches and the tallest was 73 inches. By comparison 8-year-old Jeffrey pines growing in the same location averaged 52 inches high and the tallest was 98 inches (27).

Season height growth in central California usually begins later than that of the associated species, except white fir (10). The average date for start of height growth at an elevation of 5,200 feet on the Stanislaus Experimental Forest was May 26. Ponderosa pine started growing about 2 weeks earlier and white fir about a month later. Sugar pine completed its seasonal growth quickly, on the average in only 51 days, and was faster than the other associated species with the exception of white fir. Half of the total height growth of sugar pine was completed within 15 days.

Seasonal radial growth begins before height growth. At the Stanislaus Experimental Forest the average date of beginning of radial growth was April 17. Also, radial growth continues for

a longer period than height growth. The average was 129 days. The time and period of radial growth of sugar pine is essentially the same as that of ponderosa pine.

The new sugar pine needles do not appear until about 80 percent of the terminal growth is completed. At the Stanislaus Experimental Forest new needles usually appear early in July.

Vegetative reproduction.—Sugar pine does not reproduce naturally by sprouts. Moreover, sugar pine cuttings do not root easily; in one study (22) only 2 percent of the cuttings rooted although calluses formed on the stems of others. But the cuttings were from a 12-year-old tree. Younger material might root more readily.

Sugar pine can be grafted onto stocks of other pines. For example, successful grafts were made on stock of ponderosa pine and Monterey pine, which are not closely related to the white pines (21).

Sapling Stage to Maturity

Growth and yield.—Described by its discoverer, Douglas, as "the most princely of the genus," sugar pine grows up to 121 inches in diameter and 246 feet tall (1). A tree 250 feet tall was recently reported in southern Oregon. The larger sugar pines contain 20,000 to 25,000 board feet, Scribner rule, and the largest scale recorded was 40,710 board feet gross. With the exception of the giant sequoia, sugar pines on high-quality sites are usually the largest trees, both in height and diameter, in the old-growth stands of the Sierra Nevada and the southern Cascade Range.

Sugar pine maintains its growth rate to larger sizes than do its associates, giant sequoia possibly excepted. On site II or better it will grow at the rate of 2.5 percent in basal area annually to a diameter of 30 inches (6). On the best sites, some trees may continue to make good growth up to a diameter of more than 50 inches.

In young stands, the average dominant trees attain the following sizes on medium (Site II-150) and on high sites (Site A-200) (7).

Age (years)	Medium site		High site	
	Height (feet)	Diameter (inches)	Height (feet)	Diameter (inches)
20-----	28	3	45	5
40-----	55	9	82	13
60-----	75	14	110	20
80-----	90	18	127	25
100-----	102	21	140	29
120-----	112	24	152	34
140-----	118	26	160	38

Yield table data (8) for young sugar pines vary considerably from these values and are believed to be too high.

In old growth central Sierra forests, dominant trees on low to high sites reached the following average heights and diameters in eight localities in California (14).

Age (years)	Height (feet)	D.b.h. (inches)
20-----	8	0.2
40-----	23	2.5
60-----	45	7.5
80-----	72	13.0
100-----	92	18.1
140-----	118	26.8
200-----	142	37.0

Sugar pine is rarely found in pure stands, except in scattered small areas, so that estimates of yields are meaningful only in relation to the whole stand. In the ponderosa pine-sugar pine type, sugar pine made up 37 percent of the total basal area of second-growth stands (8). In the old-growth forest the board-foot volume of sugar pine in this type amounted to 40 percent or more of the total. One of the heaviest stands on record contained 192,000 board feet of sugar pine on an acre plot.

On the best sites, yields of 130,000 to 180,000 board feet per acre have been predicted for second-growth stands containing sugar pine at 100 years (8), the age at which mean annual growth culminates. However, yields of this magnitude are unrealistic. Because of the mixed species composition of stands containing sugar pine, yields of sugar pine seldom exceed 50,000 board feet per acre. Under good management, sugar pine yields of 85,000 may be attained in 100 years on the best sites and up to 46,000 on medium sites in 120 years.

Sugar pine does not prune itself early, even in dense stands. The many small dead branches need to be removed in order to produce high-quality clear lumber in rotations of 80 to 120 years.

Reaction to competition.—Sugar pine is usually rated as intermediate in tolerance (2). It is more tolerant than ponderosa pine and Jeffrey pine and less tolerant than white fir and incense-cedar. Douglas-fir is of about the same level of tolerance as sugar pine where the two grow together.

Sugar pine shows good response to release. In fact, much of what is called reproduction on cut-over land actually is advance reproduction, some of which may have been 30 to 40 years old and only a few feet tall at the time of logging. After being released, the advance reproduction begins vigorous growth and subsequently is thought to have come in after logging.

In southwestern Oregon, sugar pine is unable to hold the site in competition with Douglas-fir, which can grow to more than 150 feet tall in 100 years.

Once behind in the competition for dominance, particularly with white fir, sugar pine declines unless released. The dominant sugar pines in the old stands undoubtedly were always dominant or were released naturally while still relatively young. Sugar pine is not a climax species but is found in the white fir climax because of its fire and disease resistance.



F-362082

Many small dead limbs still persist on 60-year-old sugar pines in a dense stand.

Principal enemies.—Only a few of the many insects that attack sugar pine are of economic importance. The chief insect enemies are the mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus monticolae*), the five-spined engraver beetle (*Ips confusus*), and the pine flat-headed borer (*Melanophila gentilis*). They are capable of killing young and old trees, especially those weakened by logging and fire damage. The mountain pine beetle may cause severe losses in very dense young sugar pine stands (3). The red turpentine beetles (*D. valens*) seldom kill vigorous trees, but they are capable of killing weakened ones.

Relatively few diseases cause serious losses among living sugar pines. White pine blister rust (*Cronartium ribicola*) is the most destructive disease of trees of all ages. Sugar pine is particularly susceptible to blister rust, but spread and intensification of the disease have been slow in the southern part of the range. Wind direction, summer dryness, or other factors may hinder the spread of the rust.

Heart rots are not a serious factor in the management of sugar pine. Losses due to rot are estimated at 3.7 to 6.7 percent for mature trees in the Sierra Nevada and from 5.6 to 11.4 percent for mature trees in the Coast Range Mountains (17, 18).

In southern Oregon 3 percent of the trees 24 to 50 inches in diameter were cull and 10 percent were partly defective; 4 percent of trees larger than 50 inches were cull, and 29 percent were partly de-

fective.² *Fomes pini* and *F. laricis* are the most destructive of the wood-rotting fungi attacking sugar pine. In many localities, dwarfmistletoe (*Arceuthobium campylopodium*) is a serious parasite on both young and old trees.

Saplings and poles of sugar pine are readily killed by fire. However, mature and older trees have some measure of resistance, as attested by the fire scars prevalent in the old-growth forests.

RACES AND HYBRIDS

So far there is no evidence to show the presence of races of sugar pine. But it would not be surprising to find that races do occur, in view of the 15-degree spread of latitude over which the tree grows and of the discontinuous distribution in the southern part of its range. No natural hybrids are known to exist, but sugar pines have been crossed with Armand's pine (*Pinus armandi*) and with Korean pine (*P. koraiensis*) (5).

Revised from "Silvical Characteristics of Sugar Pine," by H. A. Fowells and G. H. Schubert. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Tech. Paper 14, 19 pp. 1956.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) American Forestry Association.
1951. American tree monarchs. Separate. 6 pp.
- (2) Baker, Frederick S.
1949. A revised tolerance table. Jour. Forestry 47: 179-181.
- (3) Clements, V. A.
1953. Possible means of reducing mountain pine beetle attacks in young sugar pine. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 89, 5 pp.
- (4) Duffield, J. W.
1953. Pine pollen collection dates—annual and geographic variation. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 85, 9 pp.
- (5) ——— and Righter, F. I.
1953. Annotated list of pine hybrids made at the Institute of Forest Genetics. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 86, 9 pp.
- (6) Dunning, Duncan.
1923. Some results of cutting in the Sierra Forests of California. U.S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1176, 26 pp.

²Hammond, H. L. Report on the work of the South Umpqua combined disease survey and timber cruising party. Umpqua National Forest office report. 1942.

- (7) Dunning, Duncan.
1942. A site classification for the mixed conifer selection forests of the Sierra Nevada. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 28, 21 pp.
- (8) ——— and Reineke, L. H.
1933. Preliminary yield tables for second-growth stands in the California pine region. U.S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bul. 354, 24 pp.
- (9) Fowells, H. A.
1940. Cutworm damage to seedlings in California pine stands. Jour. Forestry 38: 590-591.
- (10) ———
1941. The period of seasonal growth of ponderosa pine and associated species. Jour. Forestry 39: 601-608.
- (11) ———
1944. Site preparation as an aid to sugar pine regeneration. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 41, 4 pp.
- (12) ———
1950. Some observations on the seedfall of sugar pine. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 70, 3 pp.
- (13) ——— and Schubert, G. H.
1951. Natural reproduction in certain cutover pine-fir stands of California. Jour. Forestry 49: 192-196.
- (14) ——— and Schubert, G. H.
1951. Recent direct seeding trials in the pine region of California. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 78, 9 pp.
- (15) ——— and Schubert, G. H.
1956. Seed crops of forest trees in the pine region of California. U.S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bul. 1150, 48 pp.
- (16) Hall, Ralph C.
1955. Insect damage to the 1954 crop of Douglas-fir and sugar pine cones and seeds in northern California. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Misc. Paper 18, 4 pp.
- (17) Kimmey, James W.
1950. Cull factors for forest tree species in north-west California. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Forest Survey Release 7, 30 pp.
- (18) Kimmey, James W.
1954. Cull and breakage factors for pines and incense-cedar in the Sierra Nevada. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 90, 4 pp.
- (19) Larsen, L. T., and Woodbury, T. D.
1916. Sugar pine. U.S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 426, 40 pp.
- (20) Miller, John M.
1914. Insect damage to the cones and seeds of Pacific Coast conifers. U.S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 95, 7 pp.
- (21) Mirov, N. T.
1940. Tested methods of grafting pine. Jour. Forestry 38: 768-777.
- (22) ———
1944. Experiments in rooting pines in California. Jour. Forestry 42: 199-204.
- (23) Schubert, Gilbert H.
1955. Freezing injury to young sugar pine. Jour. Forestry 53: 732.
- (24) ———
1955. Freezing injury to sugar pine cones. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 96, 2 pp.
- (25) ———
1956. Effect of ripeness on the viability of sugar, Jeffrey, and ponderosa pine seed. Soc. Amer. Foresters Proc. 1955: 67-69.
- (26) ———
1956. Effect of fertilizer on cone production of sugar pine. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 116, 5 pp.
- (27) ———
1956. Early survival and growth of sugar pine and white fir in clear-cut openings. U.S. Forest Serv. Calif. [Pacific Southwest] Forest and Range Expt. Sta. Res. Note 117, 6 pp.
- (28) Siggins, Howard W.
1933. Distribution and rate of fall of conifer seeds. Jour. Agr. Res. 47: 119-128.
- (29) Suen, William I.
1955. Some lessons in artificial regeneration from southwestern Oregon. Northwest Sci. 29: 10-22.
- (30) Stone, Edward C.
1957. Embryo dormancy and embryo vigor of sugar pine as affected by length of storage and storage temperatures. Forest Sci. 4: 357-371.
- (31) Tevis, Lloyd, Jr.
1953. Effect of vertebrate animals on seed crop of sugar pine. Jour. Wildlife Mgmt. 17: 128-131.